

Item	Request	Response	Fee
1	All documents, communications, papers and materials concerning or referring to the order 6/5/2017 in 17-ca-421 and the manufacture of a court order of 6/30/2017 in 17-mm-815. Initial investigation has uncovered that a clerk employee hand-modified the 6/5 order on 6/30 by adding the brand new (as of 6/30) docket number to the order and fraudulently filing this forgery as a valid court order. Holding a forged document out as a legitimate court order is very serious fraud and obstruction. Please produce the name of the court employee who engaged in the forgery. See forgery attached. Produce all materials related to this journey of a court order from circuit court to county court and the transformation of a circuit court order into a county court order.	Please produce the name of the court employee who engaged in the forgery. - <b>None</b>  Time to research and produce all materials related to this journey of a court order from circuit court to county court and the transformation of a circuit court order into a county court order.	3 hours @ 36.95/hr = 110.85
2	All documents, communications, papers and materials concerning or referring to Scott Huminski.	Search and retrieval of information.  The estimate for Inspection of content may vary depending on the volume of information returned.	<b>Information Retrieval:</b> 33 hours @ 48.93/hr = 1,614.69  <b>Inspection:</b> 5 hours @ 48.93/hr
3	All incoming and outgoing emails in 2017 and 2018 for Judge Elizabeth Krier and Judge James Adams.	The Clerk of Courts is not the custodian of these records.	NA
4	The case names and docket numbers for all cases handled by Judge Krier or Judge Adams whereby a removal to federal court was filed, also including cases removed under bankruptcy rule 9027. Include all cases that had a filing of a notice of removal to any federal court for the last 10 years.	Our staff is not aware of any case docket events similar to "removal to federal court". We can pull events that contain the terms remove, removal, or bankruptcy and provide list of case names and numbers.	1.5 hours @ 36.95/hr = 55.43
5	The case names and docket numbers for all cases handled by Judge Adams whereby he seized jurisdiction from another judge or court in the last 10 years. Such as his illegal seizure of jurisdiction from the 20th Circuit Court (17-ca-421) and his moving of that case to his docket in County Court (17-mm-815) and cases transferred pursuant to the logic condemned in 2D17-4465.	Our staff is not aware of any such "jurisdiction seizure" docket events you mention. We can pull cases handled by Judge Adams where an "Order of Reassignment" event was entered and provide list of case names and numbers.	1.5 hours @ 36.95/hr = 55.43

Item	Request	Response	Fee
6	The case names and docket numbers for all cases handled by both Judges where a motion for contempt was filed in a case in the last ten years.	We can search our docket event and event comments for the term "contempt" and provide list of case names and numbers.	1.5 hours at 36.95/hr = 55.43
7	The case names and docket numbers for all cases handled by both Judges where any paper was entered into the docket/record containing the phrase "sui generis" was filed in a case in the last twenty years.	We can search our docket event and event comments indexes for the term "sui generis" and provide list of case names and numbers, but do not have the capability to search the text of the digital documents filed in a case.	1.5 hours @ 36.95/hr = 55.43
8	The case names and docket numbers for all cases handled by both Judges where an order was issued concerning contempt. Please produce copies of said contempt orders for the last 10 years.	<p>We can search our docket event and event comments for the term "contempt" and provide list of case names and numbers.</p> <p><i>NOTE: The estimate for providing copies of orders will depend on the number of events returned in the search, for which there will be an additional cost for reproduction or you can view those records via the Court Records Search option on our website.</i></p>	1.5 hours @ 36.95/hr = 55.43
9	All orders issued by either judge in the last 20 years that impacted the First Amendment rights (Human right of free expression) of a litigant including any type of gag or protective order. Also include any orders that restricted speech absent any narrow-tailoring whatsoever or where the order containing the speech prohibition failed to provide reasonable time, place and manner restrictions on speech sought to be silenced.	We can search our docket event and event comments for the terms "protective" and "gag" and provide list of case names and numbers.	1.5 hours @ 36.95/hr = 55.43
10	Any documents or papers in the possession of either judge detailing the process or procedure followed when Judge Adams engaged in what he described as an "administrative jurisdictional transfer" concerning the transfer of 17-ca-421 to county court 17-mm-815 or Judge Adam's transfers condemned in the recent 2 dca case, 17-4465 . Huminski has found no law, statute, court rule or valid authority to allow a county judge to seize jurisdiction of a case pending in Circuit Court or the traffic cases central to 17-4465.	The Clerk of Courts is not the custodian of these judicial records. Resubmit your request to the 20 <sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit Court Administration.	NA

<b>Item</b>	<b>Request</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Fee</b>
11	All documents/materials mentioning scott huminski for the last five years including all documents possessed by the State's Attorney concerning 17-ca-421 and/or 17-mm-815.	The Clerk of Courts is not the custodian of State's Attorney records. Resubmit your requests to the 20 <sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit State Attorney's Office.	NA
	<b>Less Deposit Received</b>		-25.00
	<b>Total</b> (estimate for information described in response column above excluding the production of records on item #8 and internal inspection of records on item #2)		\$ 2,277.44

Please be advised that the Clerk's office may have different interpretations of terminology you use in your request (e.g. gag order, protective order). Furthermore, the Clerk's office is unable to engage in any legal analysis regarding what constitutes an impact of First Amendment rights or the reasonableness of time, place, and manner restrictions.